



AF/3634
EW

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	09/862,853
	Filing Date	May 22, 2001
	First Named Inventor	Jensen et al.
	Art Unit	3634
	Examiner Name	Khoa H. Tran
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	AGI2-PT002.1

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund	
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="text"/> Remarks	

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT			
Firm Name	VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C.		
Signature			
Printed name	C. Frederick Koenig III		
Date	December 3, 2004	Reg. No.	29,662

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:			
Signature			
Typed or printed name	C. Frederick Koenig III	Date	December 3, 2004

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the **PATENT APPLICATION** of:

Jensen et al.

Application No.: 09/862,853

Confirmation No.: 8372

Filed: May 22, 2001

For: HINGE MEMBER PRODUCT DISPLAY
SYSTEM

Group: 3634

Examiner: Khoa H. Tran

Our File: AGI2-PT002.1

Date: December 3, 2004

**AMENDED SUPPLEMENTAL APPEAL BRIEF
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.193(b)(2)(ii)**

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Further to Applicants Supplemental Appeal Brief requesting reinstatement of this Appeal filed on July 1, 2004 and the Examiner's November 1, 2004 Notification of Non-Compliance, Applicants hereby submit its Amended Supplemental Appeal Brief, in triplicate, to correct the procedural deficiencies noted in the Notification of Non-Compliance.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM	PAGE
(1) REAL PARTY IN INTEREST	3
(2) RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES	3
(3) STATUS OF CLAIMS	3
(4) STATUS OF THE AMENDMENTS	3
(5) SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION	3-4
(6) ISSUES	4
(7) GROUPING OF CLAIMS	4
(8) ARGUMENT	4-9
(9) CONCLUSION	9
APPENDIX A – Appealed Claims	10-11

(1) REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is the assignee of record, ART GUILD, INC.

(2) RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

No other appeals or interferences are known to Applicants which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the present appeal.

(3) STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

The application, as filed, contained claims 1-17. Claims 1 and 3-6 have been rejected and are being appealed. Claims 2 and 7-9 have been withdrawn from consideration as drawn to a non-elected species; claim 1 constitutes a generic claim per the November 22, 2002 Office Action. Claims 10-17 have been cancelled.

(4) STATUS OF THE AMENDMENTS

Applicants filed a Second Reply After Final canceling claims 10-17. This amendment has been entered.

(5) SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The invention is directed to a novel type of display sample for a wing design product display system. The claimed display sample comprises a product sample (20) to which opposed hinge members (50) are secured. See Figure 1 and specification at ¶ [0024]. Each of the hinge members (50), have a pin (56) extending therefrom for positioning in a respective support rail member or bracket (14, 16) of the display system. See Figures 1, 1a, 9-10 and specification at ¶¶ [0024] and

[0027]. The claimed display sample is unique since the rigidity of the product sample (20) maintains upper and lower hinge members (50) in a selected spacing so they can hingedly engage within respective upper and lower display system brackets. *See* Figure 1 and specification at ¶ [0027].

(6) ISSUES

(1) Are claims 1, 3, and 6 anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 1,116,484 (Ralph)?

(2) Are claims 1 and 3-6 obvious over U.S. Patent No. 1,116,484 (Ralph)?

(7) GROUPING OF CLAIMS

For purposes of this Appeal, Applicant has treated claims 1 and 3-6 as a single group. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.192(c)(7), claims 1 and 3-6 stand or fall together with respect to the Examiner's claim rejections based on U.S. Patent No. 1,116,484 (Ralph).

(8) ARGUMENT

Applicants previously appealed the rejections of claims 1, 3, 4, and 6 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,237,782 (Hunn) in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,896,526 (Joiner). In response to Applicants' Appeal Brief the Examiner withdrew the rejection of record and re-opened prosecution based on a newly applied reference, U.S. Patent No. 1,116,484 (Ralph). *See* April 9, 2004 Office Action at pg. 1. As set forth in detail below, Ralph is no more pertinent to the

presently claimed invention than the two references (Hunn and Joiner) previously relied upon by the Examiner to reject the claims.

Issue 1: 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) Rejections

Claims 1, 3, and 6 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Ralph as follows:

Ralph discloses a display sample comprising:

a rigid product sample (24) having spaced apart upper and lower edge portions;

a wing type display (1) having an upper rail member (11) and a lower rail member (16); the lower rail member having a plurality of pin receptacles (20) to receive a lower hinge member (25) and the upper rail member (11) having a plurality of pin receptacles (20) to receive an upper hinge member (25), see Figure 1;

each hinge member having a pin member (29) extending therefrom and dimensioned to be received in a pin receptacle and first and second opposed portions (27) between which the respective edge portions (27) define a slot for receiving the respective edge portions. With respect to claim 6, both hinge members are identical in construction.

See April 9, 2004 Office Action at pg. 3.

Independent claim 1 of the present invention requires the rigidity of the product being displayed to maintain the spacing between upper and lower hinge members. In Ralph, the rigidity of the product sample does not maintain the selected spacing between the upper and lower hinge members. Ralph discloses a display having a frame (22) mounted to upper and lower corner caps (25), each corner cap having a pin (29, 29^a) that fits into one of the openings (14, 20) of the

upper and lower bars (11, 16). *See* Figure 1. The frame (22) supports “a panel 24 which ordinarily is made of pulp board.” *See* Ralph at Col. 3, lines 2-6. “To the panel 24 may be attached in any suitable manner, strips of paper adapted to cover the side walls of a room.” *See* Ralph at Col. 3, lines 2-6.

Applicants’ claims rely upon the rigidity of a product sample to maintain the spacing between the upper and lower hinge members. Because the product samples in Ralph are mounted to a panel supported by a frame, the product samples are not responsible for maintaining the spacing between the upper and lower hinge members.

Applicants’ claims are also distinguishable from Ralph because claim 1 expressly requires the upper and lower edges of the product sample to be secured within the first and second opposed portions of the upper and lower hinge members, respectively. In contrast, the product sample in Ralph is not secured to the corner members (25). Rather, the product sample is mounted to a panel (24); the panel (24) is received within the inner edge of a surrounding frame (22); and the upper and lower corners of the surrounding frame (22) are secured to the upper and lower corner members (25). *See* Figure 1. Accordingly, Ralph does not disclose or suggest upper and lower edges of the product sample being secured within upper and lower hinge members.

Accordingly, the rejections of claims 1, 3 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) should be withdrawn because the presently claimed invention is not anticipated by Ralph.

Issue 2: 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Rejections

Claims 1 and 3-6 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Ralph. Claims 1, 3, and 6 were rejected under § 103(a) for the same reasons set forth with respect to the rejection of those claims under § 102(a). With respect to claims 4 and 5, the Examiner additionally stated the following:

With respect to claim 5, it should be noted that the hinge members having suitable securing element, i.e., fasteners through holes 31 on the hinge members, see page 2, lines 22-25. ... With respect to claim 4, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of [sic.] the invention was made to modify the slot to of [sic.] Ralph to be frictionally fit with the product in order to avoid using securing elements.

See April 9, 2004 Office Action at pg. 4.

Independent claim 1 of the present invention requires the rigidity of the product being displayed to maintain the spacing between upper and lower hinge members. In Ralph, the rigidity of the product sample does not maintain the selected spacing between the upper and lower hinge members. Ralph discloses a display having a frame (22) mounted to upper and lower corner caps (25), each corner cap having a pin (29, 29^a) that fits into one of the openings (14, 20) of the upper and lower bars (11, 16). *See* Figure 1. The frame (22) supports “a panel 24 which ordinarily is made of pulp board.” *See* Ralph at Col. 3, lines 2-6. “To the

panel 24 may be attached in any suitable manner, strips of paper adapted to cover the side walls of a room.” *See* Ralph at Col. 3, lines 2-6.

Applicants’ claims rely upon the rigidity of a product sample to maintain the spacing between the upper and lower hinge members. Because the product samples in Ralph are mounted to a panel supported by a frame, the product samples are not responsible for maintaining the spacing between the upper and lower hinge members.

Applicants’ claims are also distinguishable from Ralph because claim 1 expressly requires the upper and lower edges of the product sample to be secured within the first and second opposed portions of the upper and lower hinge members, respectively. In contrast, the product sample in Ralph is not secured to the corner members (25). Rather, the product sample is mounted to a panel (24); the panel (24) is received within the inner edge of a surrounding frame (22); and the upper and lower corners of the surrounding frame (22) are secured to the upper and lower corner members (25). *See* Figure 1. Accordingly, Ralph does not disclose or suggest upper and lower edges of the product sample being secured within upper and lower hinge members.

Accordingly, the rejections of claims 1 and 3-6 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) should be withdrawn because the presently claimed invention is not rendered obvious over Ralph.

Claim Objections


Claims 1 and 3-6 were objected to for informalities in the claim terminology. In accordance with the Examiner's remarks, Applicants agree to amend the terms "edge" and "edges" in claims 3-6 to recite the appropriate "edge portion(s)" of the product sample to be consistent with claim 1. In addition, Applicants agree to amend claim 6 to recite that "the lower hinge member is secured to a lower edge portion of the product sample" in order to correct the grammatical error noted on pg. 2 of the Office Action. Applicants will accept an Examiner's amendment to this effect or file an amendment to correct these informalities in the claim terminology upon receipt of the Board's decision with respect to this Appeal.

(9) CONCLUSION

For the above reasons, Applicants respectfully submits that the presently claimed invention is patentable over the prior art. Reconsideration and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Jensen et al.

By 
C. Frederick Koenig III
Registration No. 29,662
(215) 568-6400

Volpe and Koenig, P.C.
United Plaza, Suite 1600
30 South 17th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103

CFK/RWO

APPENDIX A
(APPEALED CLAIMS OF U.S. PATENT APPLICATION NO. 09/862,853)

1. A display sample for a wing type display comprising:
a rigid product sample having spaced upper and lower edge portions;
an upper hinge member secured to the upper edge portion;
the upper hinge member including a pin, extending therefrom, dimensioned to be received in a pin receptacle of a wing type display, and first and second opposed portions between which the upper edge portion of the product sample is secured with the pin extending outwardly away from the product sample upper edge portion; and
a lower hinge member associated with the lower edge portion;
the lower hinge member including a pin, extending therefrom, dimensioned to be received in a pin receptacle of a wing type display, and the lower edge portion of the product associated with the lower hinge member for support with the lower member pin extending outwardly away from the product sample lower edge portion, such that the rigidity of the product sample maintains a selected spacing of the upper and lower member pins.

3. A display sample according to claim 1 wherein the upper hinge member includes a slot for in which an upper edge of the product sample is secured

and the lower hinge member includes a slot in which a lower edge of the product sample is supported.

4. A display sample according to claim 3 wherein the upper and lower hinge members are secured to respective edges of the product sample by a friction fit.

5. A display sample according to claim 4 wherein the upper and lower hinge members are secured to respective edges of the product sample by a fastener.

6. A display sample according to claim 1 wherein the upper and lower hinge members have a common construction, the upper hinge member is secured to an upper edge of the product sample and the lower hinge member is secured a lower edge of the product sample.